This mock report is just an example for `dfgreporting.cls` it reflects the template valid until January 2012 (have to update soon)

Final Project Report

iPoWr: Intelligent Proposal Writing

Acronym: ABC

March 8, 2021

Michael Kohlhase
FAU Erlangen Nürnberg
Computer Science

Great Communicator
Power Consulting GmbH
Science Affairs

Contents

0 General Information (for the ELAN system only)

0.1 Reference Numbers

KO 2428 99-9, GS 4711 99-9

0.2 Report and Funding Period

1. Feb. 2010 - 31. Jan. 2012

0.3 Research area and field of work

Scientific discipline: Elektrotechnik
Fields of work: Ingenieurwissenschaften

0.4 Application Areas

Knowledge Management, Document Management, Workflow Systems

[aut] the proposal authors. . . . *should provide more high-class references* . . .

[Koh+11] M. Kohlhase et al. “The Planetary System: Web 3.0 & Active Documents for STEM”. In: *Procedia Computer Science* 4 (2011): *Special issue: Proceedings of the International Conference on Computational Science (ICCS)*. Ed. by M. Sato, S. Matsuoka, P. M. Sloot, G. D. van Albada, and J. Dongarra. Finalist at the Executable Paper Grand Challenge, pp. 598–607. DOI: 10.1016/j.procs.2011.04.063.

[Koh10] M. Kohlhase. *Preparing DFG Proposals in LaTeX with `dfgproposal.cls`*. Self-documenting LaTeX package, https://github.com/KWARC/LaTeX-proposal/tree/master/dfg/dfgproposal.pdf; ask the author for access. 2010.

[Lan10] C. Lange. “Towards OpenMath Content Dictionaries as Linked Data”. In: *23rd OpenMath Workshop*. Ed. by M. Kohlhase and C. Lange. July 2010. arXiv: 1006.4057v1 [cs.DL]. URL: http://cicm2010.cnam.fr/om/.

1 Final Progress Report

ToDo:1 *This is what the reviewers read (maximum 10 pages of A4)*

- *Project's initial questions and objectives.*
- *Project developments — including deviations from the original plan, failures, and problems encountered with project organisation or technical execution.*
- *Presentation of results and discussion of the relevant research situation in this context, potential perspectives for application, and conceivable follow-up research.*
- *Statement on whether the results of the project are economically valuable and whether exploitation is already taking place or may be anticipated; if applicable, details regarding patents, industrial joint ventures, etc.*
- *Who has contributed to the results achieved by the project (national/international partners, project staff, etc.)?*
- *Qualification of young researchers in the context of your project (for example, first degree, doctorate, post-doctorate, etc.).*

The report must be understandable without the need to consult additional literature. To illustrate and enhance your presentation you may refer to your own and others' publications. Make it clear whenever you are referring to other researchers' work and explain your own papers. Please list all cited publications at the end of the section. This reference list is not considered your list of publications. Any unpublished work must be included with the final report. However, note that reviewers are not required to read any of the works you cite. Reviews will be

Done:1 *based only on the text of the actual report.*

[1] To Do: *from the report template*

2 Final Progress Report

This is for the DFG web site and report, made available to the general public (maximum 1 page of A4)

ToDo:2

- *Presentation, in clearly understandable, everyday language of the key scientific findings and any potential applications.*
- *Any surprises encountered in the course of the project and in the results obtained.*
- *Reference to any articles published in the media reporting the success of the project.Project's initial questions and objectives.*

Done:2

[2] TO DO: *from the report template*

Articles

[Koh+11] M. Kohlhase et al. “The Planetary System: Web 3.0 & Active Documents for STEM”. In: *Procedia Computer Science* 4 (2011): *Special issue: Proceedings of the International Conference on Computational Science (ICCS)*. Ed. by M. Sato, S. Matsuoka, P. M. Sloot, G. D. van Albada, and J. Dongarra. Finalist at the Executable Paper Grand Challenge, pp. 598–607. DOI: 10.1016/j.procs.2011.04.063.

Workshop Papers

[Lan10] C. Lange. “Towards OpenMath Content Dictionaries as Linked Data”. In: *23rd OpenMath Workshop*. Ed. by M. Kohlhase and C. Lange. July 2010. arXiv: 1006.4057v1 [cs.DL]. URL: http://cicm2010.cnam.fr/om/.

References

[aut] the proposal authors. *. . . should provide more high-class references . . .*

[Koh+11] M. Kohlhase et al. “The Planetary System: Web 3.0 & Active Documents for STEM”. In: *Procedia Computer Science* 4 (2011): *Special issue: Proceedings of the International Conference on Computational Science (ICCS)*. Ed. by M. Sato, S. Matsuoka, P. M. Sloot, G. D. van Albada, and J. Dongarra. Finalist at the Executable Paper Grand Challenge, pp. 598–607. DOI: 10.1016/j.procs.2011.04.063.

[Koh10] M. Kohlhase. *Preparing DFG Proposals in LaTeX with `dfgproposal.cls`*. Self-documenting LaTeX package, https://github.com/KWARC/LaTeX-proposal/tree/master/dfg/dfgproposal.pdf; ask the author for access. 2010.

[Lan10] C. Lange. “Towards OpenMath Content Dictionaries as Linked Data”. In: *23rd OpenMath Workshop*. Ed. by M. Kohlhase and C. Lange. July 2010. arXiv: 1006.4057v1 [cs.DL]. URL: http://cicm2010.cnam.fr/om/.